

**Scientific Programme**  
(for details see <http://www.aippi2016.it/wp/programme/>)

## 2016 Panel Sessions

**Pharma Session 1 – “In(gene)ious” but not patentable? Patentable subject matter**

Monday, September 19, 2016 from 09.00 to 10.30

**Pharma Session 2 – Biosimilars – similar but different?**

Monday, September 19, 2016 from 11.00 to 12.00

**Pharma Session 3 – Skinny Labels – Wide Impact**

Monday, September 19, 2016 from 14.00 to 15.30

**Pharma Session 4 – Antitrust and Pharma – Seeking a Balance**

Monday, September 19, 2016 from 16.00 to 17.30

**Panel Session I – General IP – Buon appetito! IP & Food**

Sunday, September 18, 2016 from 14.00 to 15.30

The food industry is an IP-intensive industry.

**Panel Session II – Patent – Infringers without borders – current issues in contributory infringement**

Sunday, September 18, 2016 from 14.00 to 15.30

**Panel Session III – Copyright/Trademark – An indication of developments in GIs**

Sunday, September 18, 2016 from 16.00 to 17.30

**Panel Session IV – General IP – Top IP tips: the TPP and the TTIP**

Sunday, September 18, 2016 from 16.00 to 17.30

**Panel Session V – General IP – IP & Fashion**

Monday, September 19, 2016 from 09.00 to 10.30

**Panel Session VI – High Technology – Computer implemented technologies: patentable?**

Monday, September 19, 2016 from 11.00 to 12.30

**Panel Session VII – High Technology – No frontiers: the European Digital Single Market**

Monday, September 19, 2016 from 14.00 to 15.30

**Panel Session VIII – Patents – Prioritising priority rights**

Monday, September 19, 2016 from 16.00 to 17.30

**Panel Session IX – Patent – UPC Mock Trial**

Tuesday, September 20, 2016 from 09.00 to 12.30

**Panel Session X – Copyright/Trademark – Unwrapping the European Trademark Reform Package**

Tuesday, September 20, 2016 from 09.00 to 10.30

**Panel Session XI – Copyright/Trademark – Speaking freely about parody**

Tuesday, September 20, 2016 from 11.00 to 12.30

## 2016 Study Committees

### STUDY COMMITTEE

---

Added matter: the standard for determining adequate support for amendments

Éléments ajoutés : les critères de détermination d'un support suffisant des modifications

Hinzugefügte Gegenstände: Der Beurteilungsmaßstab für hinreichende Stützung von Änderungen

Materia añadida: los criterios para determinar el soporte adecuado de las modificaciones

Status: ActiveMeeting:

### STUDY COMMITTEE

---

Linking and making available on the Internet

Création de liens et mise à disposition sur Internet

Verlinkung und Zugänglichmachung im Internet  
La creación de enlaces y la puesta a disposición en Internet  
Status: ActiveMeeting:

#### STUDY COMMITTEE

---

Requirements for protection of designs  
Conditions pour la protection des dessins et modèles  
Voraussetzungen für den Schutz von Designs  
Requisitos para la protección de los diseños  
Status: ActiveMeeting:

#### STUDY COMMITTEE

---

Security Interests over Intellectual Property  
Sûretés sur les droits de propriété intellectuelle  
Sicherungsrechte an Rechten des Geistigen Eigentums  
Garantías reales sobre los derechos de la Propiedad Industrial e intelectual

### **2016 Lunch Sessions**

#### **Lunch Session 1 – Judges’ Panel – Expert Evidence and the Role of Experts**

**Sunday, September 18, 2016 from 12.00 to 14.00**

There is no doubt that expert evidence often plays a determinative role in IP disputes of all kinds. This can include, for example, technical evidence in patent cases, survey or branding evidence in trademark cases, evidence on the aesthetic aspects of works in copyright or design cases, as well as evidence supporting monetary claims. However, the type of evidence, the manner in which the evidence is submitted to the tribunal, the weight it is given, and the overall role and conduct of an expert in the proceeding can vary greatly from one jurisdiction to another.

Moreover, there have been recent court decisions and changes in court practice in numerous jurisdictions dealing with the use of expert evidence, including how such evidence should be treated by appellate courts.

This panel session will look at various issues in relation to the use of expert evidence including the role of the experts themselves, (court appointed versus engaged by a party), and the role and form of expert evidence that may be used in IP cases (live testimony, affidavits, and the (in)famous Australian “hot tubs”). This will be from the perspective of experienced members of the IP judiciary, with both common law and civil law panelists from around the world.

#### **Lunch Session 2 – The EPO – setting the pace for the 21st Century (President Benoit Battistelli)**

**Monday, September 19, 2016 from 12.30 to 14.00**

With 38 member states (and four extension/validation states) reaching a population of 650 million and filings on the increase, the EPO has never been stronger or more influential on the global patent stage. Its 7000 employees include 4200 highly qualified examiners and in 2015 it became the first of the IP5 Offices to be fully ISO certified.

AIPPI is very pleased to have EPO President Benoit Battistelli at this Lunch Session to describe how the EPO is proceeding with its mission to increase both quality and efficiency, while at the same time reducing examination and grant times and controlling costs.

President Battistelli will also look ahead to EPO’s role (anticipated to commence by early 2017) as the granting and administrative authority for the Unitary Patent.