



UNION-IP Round Table Program, February 24, 2017

Program Literal infringement and the doctrine of equivalence from a European perspective - How should one draft patents that are infringed?

08:15 - 08:45 Registration

08:45 - 09:00 Welcome words Luigi Franzolin, President UNION-IP **Cornelia Rudloff-Schäffer**, President DPMA

09:00 - 09:45 Do's and Don'ts under Dutch Case Law

Experiences from the judge's bench **Edger F. Brinkman**, Senior Judge IP Court of The Hague, **NL**

09:45 - 10:30 Do's and Don'ts under German Case Law

Experiences from the judge's bench **Judge Dr. Hermann Deichfuß**, Federal Court of Justice, **DE**

10:30 - 10:50 Coffee Break

10:50 - 11:35 Pitfalls and lucky punch

Practical insight from the Swedish perspective **Erik Nilsson**, Patent Manager, Rosemount Tank Radar AB, (Emerson), **SE (tbc)**

11:35 - 12:20 Pitfalls and lucky punch

Practical insight from a British perspective **industry speaker** (tba)

12:20 - 13:05 Pitfalls and lucky punch The attorney's view **Sabine Agé.** Véron & Associés.

The attorney's view **Sabine Agé**, Véron & Associés, Lawyer, **FR**

13:05 - 13:30 O&A and closing remarks

Jochen Kilchert, Meissner Bolte, President Patents Commission UNION-IP, DE

13:30 - 15:00 Get Together

The landmark decision "Occlusion device", rendered by the BGH, started intense discussion amongst practitioners as to how to draft patents that can be successfully enforced. **UNION-IP** picks up this discussion, and connects together the jurisprudence which has been developed since the Occlusion device decision regarding the questions of literal infringement and doctrine of equivalence when drafting patents with a European perspective. What lessons need to be learned? What are the pitfalls to be avoided? In brief, how does one draft a patent that can be infringed?

We will hear views from experienced judges, litigators and patent attorneys on these points.